Approved For Release 2001/07/27 : CIA-RDR83-00764R000100060024-0

Chiefs, Foreign Branches

Office Memorandum · UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

DATE: 7 April 1947

FROM	: COPS	
SUBJECT	c: Covert Appraisal and Training	
secu ques COPS who	have handled covert personnel should also fill out this e. Names of reporting officers need not be given unless	re re- it to ch question
	2. The following questions should be answered by checkin "No":	ng "Yes"
	a. Do appraisal reports assist you in deciding whether or not to employ individuals?	Yes No
	b. If you employed appraised individuals, have the reports been of value in defining the scope of assignments and in handling the agents?	Yes
	c. Considering the time allowed for training, do you feel that the students received the maximum benefit from their instruction?	Yes
	d. During briefing after the training period, did the students show understanding of their objectives and a realization of the problems involved in their achieving their goals?	Yes
	e. Did the students show a firm grasp of operational procedures and security precautions necessary to their assignments? 14 007 197 f. If agents trained covertly have sent in reported	Yes
	from the field, has the information been valuable?	No.
	g. Have the reports contained the information essential to proper HQ evaluation?	No
	h. Do such field reports show that the Agentality on the right track by conducting secure and sound operations as well as by carrying out directives?	Yes

Approved For Release 2001/07/27 CUT P183-00764R000100060024-0

- 2 -

i. Have the Operations Officers and students been satisfied with the security of covert appraisal and training?	Yes	-
j. Have the security precautions exercised during training made an impression on the students to the degree that imight have set an example for the security of his operations in the field?		

3. It would be desirable if you would comment below on matters not covered by the above questions. Since the purpose of this questionnaire is to help TRB improve its training, specific comments on specific training cases are preferable to general statements of praise or criticism.